



WRITING
FROM

TO

A Guide to Completing the
Dissertation Phase of Doctoral Studies

Keith Hjortshoj

Contents

The Admission to Candidacy Exam, or “A Exam,” is one of the few common requirements among Cornell’s diverse PhD programs. According to the Cornell Guide to Graduate Study, passing the A Exam means that you are “ready to present a dissertation.” Before you can present a dissertation, of course, you have to produce one, and doing so is your main job description as a PhD candidate in transit between the A Exam and the B Exam. Passing the B Exam and filing an approved copy of the dissertation are the last common requirements for the PhD.

Producing a dissertation is therefore the main subject of this guide for those of you en route between the A and B Exams.

Because the dissertation is a complex written document that all successful PhD candidates must complete, writing is critically important in this last phase of doctoral work. For reasons explained in following sections, the process of completing a dissertation is normally difficult. Writing projects on a computer [5(10)] TJ [5(wing section)] TJ [5(ns,)] TJ [70(the pr)] TJ [10(oc

specialization, this association of writing difficulties with deficits in basic skills may also explain why studies of common problems in graduate education rarely mention struggles with “writing” as distinct causes of attrition or delay in doctoral



To explain the ways in which you are in the same boat, despite di er

and disorienting at turning points—periods of transition—when we write in unfamiliar contexts or forms, to meet new sets of expectations.

To demystify and facilitate dissertation writing, therefore, we should identify the features of the transition that you must now negotiate, as doctoral candidates. What, exactly, are you in transition from and to?

Designating someone who has graduated but remains a student in some respects, the term “graduate student” indicates the transitional nature of this period in your development. Being a good graduate student means that you are effectively becoming something else: a process of transformation from the status of a student to that of a professional research specialist, a scholar, and in many cases a teacher. In a doctoral program, this long period of transition typically continues for five years or more, with changing implications as you complete graduate course requirements, become a doctoral candidate following the A Exam, and pursue dissertation research and writing. e skills and motivatio

answers to a series of questions:

R 5 " .5 , 53) / 5 1 , # . # (! >
R 5 " 3 5 3) / 5 , 5 1 , # . # (! 5 # . >
R 5) , 5 1 ") ' >
R 5 # . " 5 1 " . 5 0) # 5 (5 / . ") , # . 3 5 - 5 . " 5 5
author, in relation to this audience?

Answers to these questions raise some other relevant questions about methods, time frames, and standards. In other words, when you understand the nature of a writing task, how can you get it done effectively and efficiently, in the broader contexts of your lives, in ways that meet standards for finished writing of this kind? Without considering these rhetorical changes, you will tend to drift into dissertation work with an approach to writing and a sense of yourself as a writer based on past experience. New difficulties you encounter can then seem to represent unique circumstances or insurmountable limits of your ability rather than common, identifiable problems you can solve by deliber

of a dissertation in your field by examining finished dissertations on file, as close as possible to the type of research you are doing and preferably those approved by your own advisors.

Your dissertation will remain at all stages a work in progress, providing working drafts, data, and ideas for future publications. Efforts to avoid further revision at every stage or to include everything you know about the subject represent student writing strategies that will make the process unnecessarily slow and frustrating. In following sections, we will extend this analysis to other differences between student writing and professional writing, with attention to focus, frame of reference, time management, and other factors relevant to getting complex writing projects done.

Rhetorical Differences Between Student and Professional Writing

In American research universities, the following contrasts therefore polarize boundaries and rhetorical shifts between undergraduate and graduate studies are somewhat blurred. Like professional academic writing, student writing takes many forms, most of them based on the kinds of writing that college teachers produce. Undergraduate lab reports resemble scientific research articles. Student research papers for literature, history, or sociology courses resemble research articles in those fields. Doctoral programs and advanced research facilities coexist in the same departments with undergraduate studies. Graduate advisors in these departments also teach and advise undergraduates. Some courses enroll both advanced undergraduates and entering graduate students. And many of you serve as teaching assistants in undergraduate courses. Undergraduates sometimes begin to adopt approaches to writing characteristic of real scholarship, especially in honors projects or co-authored articles with advisors. In turn, rhetorical features of “school writing” often continue into graduate studies, in papers and projects assigned in graduate-level courses.

The following contrasts therefore polarize rhetorical factors that can be difficult to observe in intermediate, overlapping contexts. The purpose is not to criticize student writing or to distinguish good approaches from bad ones. As a rule, undergraduates produce writing in the way they do because of the situations in which they write favor these approaches, not because their methods and motivations are “wrong.” Descriptions of these contrasting positions will include some discussion of their implications for dissertation writers, who are moving between them.

Rhetorical Features of Student Writing

Because assignments across the disciplines ask undergraduates to produce many types of writing, the What? question we posed earlier is most difficult to answer. Writing assignments in diverse courses, however, share some underlying rhetorical features that condition the ways students typically complete them.

Regardless of its form and field of study,
“school writing” is produced:

R 5 .) 5) ' * & . 5 - - # ! (' (. - 6

With clear deadlines and limited time, student writers tend to postpone working on an assignment until the pressure builds, along with their incentives to produce writing quickly. Stakes and standards for the quality of this work are relatively low because an assigned paper counts only for some portion of a grade in one course. And because school writing mainly serves the purposes of learning (rather than the dissemination of knowledge or communication with broader audiences), it typically has no future beyond submission and grading. A Cornell PhD candidate therefore described the undergraduate papers he wrote as “a series of one-night stands.”

College students typically assume that the skills and strategies they developed in undergraduate studies will serve their purposes in post-graduate studies and careers. Very few of these students are aware that the writing their teachers produce, especially for publication, results from fundamentally different processes, approaches, and motivations.

e Rhetorical Features of Professional Academic Writing

Although scholars, like undergraduates, produce many kinds of formal and informal writing, the projects most relevant to doctoral candidates are manuscripts for publication. In contrast with student writers, scholars produce manuscripts:

R 5.) 5) ' ' / (# . 5 , - , " 5 @ (# (! - 5) , 5 5
 arguments in a eld of inquiry,
 R 5) 0 , 5) ' * , . # 0 & 3 5 &) (! 5 . # ' 5 , ' - 6
 R 5.) 5 / # (- 5) 5). " , 5 , - , " 5 - * # & # - . - 6
 R 5 # (5 2 " (! 5) , 5 * ,) -- #) (& 5 - . # - . #) (6 5
 recognition, and credentials.

ese rhetoric

R 5; 3 5 (].5 /, . & 3 5 -- after a year, Boice found that “binge writers of their work while producing it. (a) accomplished far less writing overall, (b) R 5 " . 0 , 5." 3 5 , 5 - 3 # (! 5 # fewer editorial acceptances, (c) scored higher on the Beck Depression Inventory, and (d) listed fewer creative ideas for writing” published. in the judgment of their peers.

Scholars who have effectively adapted to the realities of professional writing also keep their motivations fairly high and consistent in the time devoted to a project. Accepting that writing for publication is a substantial part of their job as scholars, and one that requires sustained attention, they schedule regular blocks of time for this work and avoid distractions during those blocks of time. If they wait for the right mood, for inspiration, or for their schedules to clear, they’ll never get serious projects nished.

When scholars do not adapt their approaches to the unavoidable demands of publication, they typically develop what the psychologist Robert Boice described as “binge patterns of creative illness.” In a comparative study of “binge writers” and “regular writers” among assistant professors, Boice argued that binge writing results from belief that the most creative, original work results from a combination of eccentric brilliance and intense, manic concentration. Writers of this type believed that “binges of writing offer special advantages, including loosened, brilliant thinking and rare opportunities for quick, efficient completions of long overdue projects.” Boice observed that binges of writing often follow periods of procrastination or blocking that induce the feelings of pressure and desperation these writers consider necessary for productivity. By contrast, the “regular writers” in this study typically worked on writing projects at least three days each week in “relatively brief but regular sessions.” They were moderate in their expectations, in their feelings of “mild happiness” with their progress, and in their methods.

Assessing the results of these methods

nished writing in the first draft through the sobering experience of publication. Due to high standards driven by intense competition, manuscripts that have even marginal prospects for acceptance have been thoroughly revised through multiple drafts. Following peer review, many of these are rejected and must be further revised for submission to other journals or publishers. Even acceptances are almost invariably provisional, requiring significant changes in response to diverse and often conflicting criticism from reviewers. When a book manuscript is finally “done,” and the author never wants to see it again, the copy editors work it over and the text staggers back like the undead, marked with hundreds of suggestions for editorial changes.

When you begin to compose a dissertation, a book manuscript, or a journal article, such an awareness of the process can be daunting and disheartening if you still believe that good writing represents brilliant utterance. The end of the process tells you that even your best efforts at the beginning won't be good enough. What you say now will probably be no more than the basis for saying something else instead.

If you abandon such romantic ideas of good writing, however, this realization can also be liberating. Considering all the revision and copy editing that occurs even at the end of a professional writing project, it seems silly, even vain, to think that those first drafts eventually buried in scrap piles were precious or intact. First drafts, and even later ones, don't have to be wonderful. Writing doesn't have to be a stressful performance before an imagined audience of scathing critics. Even the referees of a manuscript won't read its early versions. When you are producing them, therefore, you are actually quite free to say whatever occurs to you, without feeling that it has to be profound, eloquent, or even true.

Following sections will suggest ways of streamlining this type

for publication. One Cornell senior realized this fundamental difference when he first co-authored a journal article in biology with one of his professors—a manuscript that was, as he said, “examined, criticized, corrected, submitted, returned, resubmitted, returned, etc., and finally accepted.” In comparison with finishing papers for his courses, completing this process seemed “all

e Student Writer

For the student, the frame of reference for writing consists of knowledge recently acquired from readings, lectures and other course material or from references gathered for completing the paper. Because undergraduates usually try to use most of their knowledge and assembled references, the scope of the paper are nearly contiguous. Although there is a question mark representing a research question at the center of the diagram, teachers often complain that student writers don't really have a research question, position of their own, or reason for writing. The paper will simply have a "topic." The lack of a broader frame of reference largely accounts for these problems along with difficulties establishing an authorial voice, distinguishing the author's ideas and knowledge from those of other writers, or making references to sources.

For the same reasons, student writers have difficulty introducing their work to the reader. When the scope of a paper and its frame of reference are nearly the same, there are no broader perspectives from which a writer can take a position, construct an argument, identify a research question, or explain its significance in a field of inquiry. In contrast, the perspectives necessary for academic writing develop in the distance between the scope of the writing and its broader frame of reference. The novice student writer must patch together a new frame of reference ad hoc for each paper, using scraps of disciplinary knowledge, "common sense," and all-purpose templates for school writing. For the student, the main challenge of writing is to assemble almost everything he or she knows about the topic into a single, coherent document, used to demonstrate knowledge recently acquired to an audience that typically knows more.

e Experienced Scholar

Established scholars begin research and writing projects with very large and highly organized frames of reference they have assembled through years of reading, research, teaching, and other professional activity. They often have two or more related research projects underway, each of which will yield publications that answer different (though usually related) research questions. Principal investigators of large research groups may have several related, co-authored research articles in journals or edited books.

In a sharply focused, cohesive study, the writer poses a clearly articulated question about specific phenomena (or other primary sources, such as primary texts) through the use of methods, theories, and concepts that define the significance of that question within a discipline. Each of these dimensions depends on clearly developed connections with the other two. For this reason, writers who feel lost or blocked in their projects usually have not brought one or more of these dimensions into balance with the others. For example:

R5; - 51, #. , -5' 35 5 2
 absorbed in the discipline, reading about other research and theory without refining their own research questions and data analysis.

R5; 35' 35 5 -), 5#(55 . 5)&& .#)(5
 and analysis with too many research questions or too little consideration of the way this research fits into their disciplines.

5 R5 , 5." 35' 35 5 ., 3#(!5.)5 (-1 , 5 , & 3555
 versions of research questions that need to be narrowed and refocused to correspond with the actual results of their research.

These three dimensions of a dissertation often become unbalanced in the process of research and writing, requiring deliberate adjustment and negotiation with advisors. Rather than addressing this imbalance by expanding and complicating your project, you should consider narrowing and simplifying your approach, along with other strategies.

Example:

A doctoral candidate in the social sciences was drafting chapters of a dissertation that answered a focused research question about a case study when his advisors observed that the theoretical dimension of his work was somewhat thin. After spending a few weeks reading theory and related cases, he realized that he could expand the implications of this case to broader research questions and comparative arguments. In relation to these expanded arguments, however, his empirical data was now thin, and to validate

these arguments within a broader frame of reference he would need to complete additional studies. Further research and writing would delay completion of his dissertation by several months. The alternative strategy he chose was also closer to his advisors' intentions. Retaining his original research question and case study description, he added broader theoretical and comparative dimensions to the introduction and conclusion of this study, expanding its potential relevance to related research questions without complicating its basic structure. He could then pursue some of this additional research and writing after he received his PhD.

An undergraduate approach to writing may tell you that your

Now that you are authorized to write in the form of “letters” about the author’s dissertation, with a focused research question, what form should your dissertation take?

Although dissertations take a great variety of forms, we can make some generalizations about their conceptual structures and the ways they typically develop. We can begin defining “good writing” as a linear sequence of words and sentences that

answers these questions:
 1. Where are we starting?
 2. In what direction are we moving?
 3. Why?
 4. Where are we going?

In other words, readers should know clearly where they are starting, the direction in which the writing is taking them, and where they end up. All writing is a form of narrative: a story that moves us purposefully from one kind of understanding to another.

Research-based writing is a story about a particular kind of inquiry, intended to answer particular questions. The earliest research articles were literally narratives (often in

scholars use for analysis, interpretation, and significance, and leading (in the discussion/argument. "Results" may constitute the entire conclusion) to further, unanswered research questions with expanding implications. body of the dissertation, presented in several chapters.

We can diagram (in Figure 3) the way this structure distills a logical order and significance from a broader frame of reference in a discipline, giving particular attention to the introduction. It is the structure that the linear narrative of your research runs through. It is a story about the process of answering a specific research question, posed within a broader frame of reference that defines its

Note that the vectors at both ends of this diagram extend to infinity. At ascending and descending levels of generality, all lines of inquiry about phenomena in all eras ultimately intersect in increasingly labyrinthine (and confusing) networks of potential relevance. A specific research question about historical events in a certain period, for example, is potentially related not only to other research on that period and place in the field of history, but also to research in politics, cultural studies, literature, the sciences, and other eras concerning other periods and places. To write a story about your research within this structure, you do not need to make sense of all the broader connections, implications, research literatures, and alternative lines of inquiry above and beneath it. In fact, you cannot hope to do so, because ultimately they do not make sense in a way you (or anyone else) could explain. TJ -5

FIGURE 3: THE ESSENTIAL FORM OF RESEARCH BASED WRITING

material before you have decided what you intend to build with it, in the hope that the material itself will tell you what to do. When writing seems impossible, you may conclude that you don't yet have enough material to make such decisions and will gather more, through further reading and other research.

As a consequence, doctoral candidates ma

in the social sciences and sciences. When a writer has not yet identified a central research question, all connections, cases, and implications of the research seem equally relevant. Without a center that determines priorities and sequences, the dissertation becomes a loosely assembled constellation of issues, findings, or lines of inquiry.

A PhD candidate's own career concerns sometimes motivate this tendency to broaden and complicate a dissertation project. If your dissertation will be the main representation of your interests and potential as a scholar, you may feel that it should include as many dimensions of your work as possible: all the related directions your research and teaching will eventually take. Candidates sometimes express concerns that they will be "pigeonholed" by a narrowly focused dissertation: perceived to be interested only in that kind of research question, subject, or method of analysis. The desire to make the dissertation represent all of your potential research interests can undermine the main credential for your career development: a completed dissertation and PhD.

The Roles of Advisors in Focusing Dissertations

Dissertation projects also can become unmanageable because of roles, relationships, and communications among advisors.

In principle, the chairs of dissertation supervisors

Time factors probably represent the most dramatic differences between student writing and dissertation writing (and or data analysis also may consume more its professional counterparts). Senior theses projects may have given you exposure to some of the challenges of orchestrating research and writing on a complex project over many months. Research grant proposals and professional articles also can introduce graduate students to “incremental writing” work that reaches completion through numerous stages over long periods. But the time bracketed for completing a dissertation—

between the A and B Exams—is usually the longest period that doctoral candidates have spent working on any single project. While that great expanse of two years or more requires new forms of deliberate, strategic thinking about time management.

Although your lives, research projects and responsibilities will differ over this period, the great majority of you will have sufficient time to complete dissertations. Many of you have children, teaching assistantships, and other important commitments that will limit

Create and Preserve Blocks of Time for Writing

Productive scholars set aside regular blocks of time for work on their writing projects. These blocks of time do not need to be

longer than two or three hours, though they may be. But they should be free of interruption and sufficiently frequent to maintain attention to work in progress. Other



activity. Although doctoral candidates in the sciences are most likely to identify focused research questions early in their dissertation work, they also are inclined to underestimate the amounts of interpretation, revision, and time involved in writing a dissertation. On the other hand, in fields that view writing as the construction of knowledge, in texts and in the study of texts, candidates may delay writing because they do not yet feel prepared to do authorized interpretation.

In both cases, this delay seems necessary because dissertation research and writing are so different from the comparatively simple tasks that undergraduates complete. But the resulting loss of freedom and control in the writing process actually perpetuates undergraduate approaches to writing. Due to time constraints and the small scale of their projects, undergraduates try to avoid second thoughts and revisions, treating the writing process as a condensed sequence of separate stages (e.g., plan, write, proofread, turn in) and thus lose the opportunities to rethink and improve their work. The resulting experience of immediate performance can make the “writing” stage unnecessarily daunting. As a Cornell sophomore said, “us, I feel my writing should be coherent, intelligently composed, and interesting in order to reflect some of my nonexistent characteristics.”

rough second thoughts and revisions,

however, I feel my writing should be coherent, intelligently composed, and interesting in order to reflect some of my nonexistent characteristics.”

about the nature of revision itself, from Dissertation writers often become authoritative references to the theorists who absorbed in similar quests for “what is worth most admired: saying” in the view of someone else who

Successive drafts of my own talk did not “know.” The larger frame of reference and lead to a clearer vision because it simply was not my vision. I, like so many of my students, was reproducing acceptable truths, imitating the gestures and rituals of the academy, not communicating what they know: their own K D Y L Q J F R Q ¿ G H Q F H H Q R contributions to knowledge in their fields. nor trusting the native language I had learned. I had surrendered my own authority to someone else, to those other authorial voices. Although your advisors and other scholars may possess more general knowledge of your field, a dissertation should address these readers as colleagues and peers in a

Writers can establish and lose their own voices and authority in their work at any stage, as writing tasks and rhetorical factors change. Students most likely to pursue doctoral studies often discover a

in undergraduate papers. Loss of voice, focus, and confidence changes the entire nature and purpose of writing in ways that often lead to advanced studies. In her essay “Writing Political Science: Asking a Question (Actually) Answering It,” Cornell professor Mary Katzenstein recalls, “with still palpable pleasure, the first essay I wrote as an undergraduate that felt like was truly my own. It was not, in fact, my junior year.”

What was she doing before that moment as a good student, while producing essays that were not truly her own? “By year of college,” Katzenstein observes, “students are skilled replicators of authorized interpretations.” While in the past this replication occurred through searches in card catalogues and encyclopedias, “now it is the urgent combing of the Web with its addictive, ever-enticing sense that with just a little more time, one more set of searches, the crucial clue to an often unspecified problem will be unearthed; in both cases the search is driven by the uneasy quest for assurance that someone who ‘knows’ can tell you what is worth saying.”

the point of release in the writing ahead. Writers who ask for advice from process refers to communication with the advisors often feel obliged to follow that intended audience, such as the advisors who advise, even if it seems misguided. In any case will evaluate a dissertation or the journal in more feedback from different perspectives is which you hope to publish a manuscript. It is usually better than less. dissertation writing, as in publication, further Incremental readers, whether faculty revision usually follows this initial release to members or peers, assist in the process of the intended audience, but at that point you writing; they do not view themselves as judges have nonetheless exposed your work to their of the product. For this reason, dissertation evaluations. writers often benefit from writing and reading

This observation places dissertation groups among peers, in or across departments advisors in an ambiguous position. As they who meet regularly and exchange work in intended audiences for a dissertation you will progress. Some departments facilitate defend in your B Exams, they are ultimate TJ [-20(1)] judges of its strengths and weaknesses. As advisors and mentors for the process of research and writing, they also function as coaches who should help you produce work that meets their expectations.

Graduate advisors and committees occupy these dual roles in a bewildering variety of ways, with differing implications for the candidates they advise. To help you negotiate these complex relations, the Cornell Graduate School is publishing a separate guide for graduate students: *Being Mentored in Graduate School: A Guide for Cornell Students*. Here we will add a few observations that apply specifically to writing.

Most graduate students can identify at least one committee member who can function as an effective “coach” throughout their research and writing. These advisors can serve as supportive readers of early plans and drafts of your dissertations, suspending judgments that would make exposing work in progress potentially hazardous. Even if you have this support, however, we encourage you to find other readers for your work among peers and friends, scholars in your field at other institutions, or Cornell faculty members who are not on your committees. Due to their own time commitments, even the most supportive advisors may provide limited or delayed feedback on drafts and plans, and their immediate suggestions may not provide the guidance you need to move

Find Professional Audiences for W

will assume that anything you show them represents your best effort, leading them to premature conclusions about the quality of your work. As a rule, you should edit your writing before submitting it to advisors, even if you know that this version needs further guidance and revision.

Edit by Ear

When we edit or proofread drafts of our work, we typically look for errors, ambiguities, or awkward phrasing. When we notice problems, however, we usually hear them first, or hear and see them at once. We recognize incongruities between the inner vocalization of the language that Eudora Welty (in *One Writer's Beginnings*) called her “reader voice” and the writing we see. This is why we usually say that a sentence “sounds wrong,” not that it “looks wrong.” We notice problems, and sometimes correct them, with an auditory sense for the way the wr

School at large, with approval from the thesis Advisor. We strongly recommend that you read these requirements for submission before you produce the final manuscript you intend to file. You can find this information in the thesis Advisor section of the Graduate School web site, at www.gradschool.cornell.edu/?p=13. This page includes links to the detailed PDF “Doctoral Dissertation and Master’s thesis Guide: Formatting, Production, and Submission Requirements,” along with schedules for seminars, contact information for consulting with the thesis Advisor, and other assistance.

Although required formats for figures, illustrations, and many other features of the dissertation are quite specific, the Graduate School does not require a particular system of documentation and reference for dissertations. Instead, you should consistently use the system your department requires or the one used most commonly in your field. The three most common documentation systems are those of the American Psychological Association (APA), the Modern Language Association (MLA), and the Chicago Manual of Style. Each of these systems offers a published guide as well as electronic services on their web sites. Other professional organizations (such as the American Chemical Society) publish their own style manuals, and your advisors may prefer that you use one of those. Because each of these systems is extremely detailed and varies substantially from others, you should acquire a complete version and follow it closely.

Advice for Non-Native Speakers of English

If you use English as a foreign or second language, you may feel that you are at a disadvantage compared with dissertation writers who are native speakers of English. This misconception can become a real extent to which difficulties actually result in disadvantage in itself.

It will be more accurate and useful for you to think of Cornell as an international community representing an extraordinary variety of linguistic and cultural backgrounds. It is not easy to determine your level of fluency in written English.

In this community, international scholars include faculty as well as students. Although the primary medium of writing and instruction in this community is academic English, all of us have strengths and weaknesses in using this language effectively. Although you need to assess and increase your level of fluency in English, many other factors will contribute to your performance, including the quality and significance of your research, your grasp of research literature in anyone's native dialect. Individually, your field, and the organizational skills and methods you use to develop your dissertation. All of the advice in previous sections therefore applies equally to native and non-native speakers of English. Much of this advice can help you compensate for

di culties in using English as a second oredu/?p=13. Although the tutors in the Walk-foreign language. Writing a dissertation oIn Service, described in the previous section, research article is not a “test” of your uency are not professional ESL instructors, they in English. Professional academic writing can help you to identify and correct common is a process through which initial planspatterns of error. and drafts gradually become professional communications and works of scholarship, through extensive revision and advice from readers. In this process, your goal is not to avoid all errors, ambiguities, or organizational problems in rst drafts but to make these problems irrelevant to the nished work. And for this purpose, scholars typically rely on help from others.

Help with Editing

e speci c kinds of help you may need as a non-native speaker of English will depend on your level of uency and language background. Native speakers of East Asian languages, for example, typically have lingering di culties with the use of articles (especiallythe), preposition usage, and diverse expressions of number in nouns, verb forms, and subject/verb agreement. Most non-native speakers of English, even at high levels of uency, continue to make stylistic errors in the use ofidioms: particular usage of words and phrases by native speakers. If these errors occur within sentences that are otherwise correct and express what the writer wants to say, however, they represent minor problems of editing. If your advisors are concerned about such errors in drafts, you should make these editorial changes before you show them work in progress, including the draft you submit for your B Exam, and you should be sure that your nished dissertation is carefully edited before you present it to the Graduate School. Advisors or friends may be able to help you with this kind of revision; but if they cannot, you may want to hire a professional editor. You can nd a list of professional editors on the web page for the esis Advisor: www.gradschool.cornell.



Conclusions



Dissertations can be difficult to complete for the same reasons that academic books and research articles are difficult to complete. These are developed. Although the dissertation is a complex, long-term project that must meet standards for scholarship in their fields but not yet (in most cases) a publication—they require considerable knowledge of the subject, persuasive evidence of validity and significance, and extensive revision. This is work.

not the kind of writing that anyone can expect to churn out in a single draft. A dissertation, therefore, functions both as evidence of and as training for your potential as a professional scholar. Dissertation writers often continue to use writing strategies that worked for them as undergraduates but will not continue to work for professional writing projects.

Dissertations should be somewhat easier to complete than comparable publications because they do not have to pass the rigorous scrutiny of peer reviewers and editors in the later stages of the publication process. The factors that add to the difficulty of this transition.

dissertation writing for many PhD candidates result from the unfamiliarity of completing doctoral candidates often overestimate the writing projects of this length, complexity and duration. In this transition between student writing and professional writing doctoral candidates tend to underestimate

management skills are more valuable than “natural” writing ability (whatever that may mean).

Serious struggles and delays in completing dissertations usually result from weaknesses in one or more of these essential components of the process, not from lack of ability. Struggling writers may be trying to produce a dissertation that remains unfocused, unstr

way o , we encourage you to look forward to it as a productive meeting with your advisors about the further development of your work. Your advisors may suggest nal revisions of your dissertation before you le it, with their approval, but they should also guide you in developing research articles or a book manuscript from your dissertation, along with giving further directions for research. In the B Exam, you should be receptive to suggestions, feel free to ask questions as well as to answer them, and take notes.

After passing their B Exams and ling their dissertations, newly minted PhDs often experience a certain malaise or quandary about further development of their work. As a dissertation, the dissertation is nished. Now what?

Although a dissertation should resemble publications in its eld, it represents a complete working draft or platform for publications. Unless constituent articles have been published, the nal and typically arduous stages of the publication process remain incomplete. In this respect, your development as a professional writer and scholar remains incomplete as well. If you intend to pursue a career in research and scholarship, you will need to refocus your time and attention around these a ce In this respect,Afy to the osents a -15(y)] T0.002 -1.203 os5(ns1 Tf (qu

Notes:

Corne